



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/083,064	02/26/2002	Kenneth James Aubuchon	1755SPRI.90848	4650
32423 7590 10/30/2008 SPRINT COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY L.P. 6391 SPRINT PARKWAY KSOPHT0101-Z2100 OVERLAND PARK, KS 66251-2100				
EXAMINER				
PATEL, HEMANT SHANTILAL				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2614				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
10/30/2008		PAPER		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

### Office Action Summary

**Application No.**

10/083,064

**Applicant(s)**

AUBUCHON ET AL.

**Examiner**

HEMANT PATEL

**Art Unit**

2614

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 July 2008.  
2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.  
3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1, 3-14 and 32 is/are pending in the application.  
4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.  
5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.  
6) ☒ Claim(s) 1, 3-14 and 32 is/are rejected.  
7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.  
8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:  
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.  
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  
2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  
3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-8508)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_  
4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_  
5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application  
6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

**DETAILED ACTION**

1. Applicant's submission filed on July 30, 2008 in response to Office Action dated April 30, 2008 has been entered. Claims 1, 3-14, 32 are pending in this application. This application is transferred to another Examiner.

***Response to Amendment***

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 3-14, 32 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. The rejections are necessitated due to claim amendments.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112***

3. Claims 1, 3-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Independent claim 1 recites "a communications component that conveys to the computer device logic to the computer device" (ll. 11-12). It is not clear if a communications component conveys something "to the computer device logic" or "to the computer device".

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

5. Claims 1, 3-14, 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Doleac (US Patent No. 6,668,053 B1).

***Regarding claim 1***, Doleac teaches of a system for implementing a business requirement in a telecommunications network, wherein said telecommunications network includes at least one computing device, comprising:

a separating component (Figs. 1, 3 item 22, col. 3 ll. 40-46) that separates logic necessary to configure the computing device (Fig. 3 item 52, col. 7 ll. 14-42) from the business requirement (Fig. 1 item 14), wherein the business requirement necessitates a change of at least one telecommunication service in a geographic area (col. 11 ll. 23-29 restoring service in affected area), and wherein said change impacts a plurality subscribers associated with said telecommunications network (affecting service for all subscribers in affected area);

a communications component (Fig. 1 item 24) that conveys to the computer device logic to the computer device so that the computer device is able to implement the business requirement (col. 3 ll. 65-col. 4 ll. 25); and

a command generator (Fig. 3 item 52 with item 56-60) that generates commands that enable the computing device to implement the business requirement, said commands being based upon the separated computing device logic (col. 8 ll. 23-col. 10 ll. 49) (col. 1 ll. 55-col. 2 ll. 15, col. 3 ll. 28-col. 24 ll. 58 for complete details).

**Regarding claim 3**, Doleac teaches of the system, further comprising:

a user interface providing information about said business requirement (col. 1 ll. 32-35, col. 3 ll. 35-36, col. 20 ll. 3-9 administrator displaying information, inherently suggesting use of interface to administer data or requirement, col. 11 ll. 23-29 identifying affected area or switches);

an external source of information providing information about the current state of said telecommunications network (col. 9 ll. 49-col. 10 ll. 49 the current status of switch type i.e. vendor, make, model, software type and level); and

a combining component to combine information from said user interface and from said external source of information to determine said business requirement (col. 10 ll. 13-49, col. 12 ll. 35-40, col. 13 ll. 23-36, col. 13 ll. 64-col. 14 ll. 30 determining business requirement commands based on switch types of affected switches based on priority of subscribers i.e. hospital, police or residential subscriber).

**Regarding claim 4**, Doleac teaches of the system, wherein said network said computing device is a telecommunications switch (col. 4 ll. 22-25, ll. 32-35).

**Regarding claim 5**, Doleac teaches of the system, wherein said computing device includes one *or* more data tables which determine the operation of said computing device (Fig. 17-20 describe various switch specific data tables for corresponding tables in the respective switches).

**Regarding claim 6**, Doleac teaches of the system, further comprising:

a determining component that determines the tables that need to be modified in the computing device based upon said business requirement, wherein said command

generator generates one or more commands which allow said computing device tables to be modified to put into effect the business requirement (col. 19 ll. 45-col. 22 ll. 56).

***Regarding claim 7***, Doleac teaches of the system, wherein said telecommunications network includes a plurality of computing devices, and wherein said computing devices are of various types (col. 4 ll. 32-35), each type requiring different logic in order to accomplish the business requirement (col. 9 ll. 49-67, col. 12 ll. 35-40, col. 13 ll. 64-66, col. 16 ll. 10-20, ll. 30-44), wherein said command generator generates commands that are specific to each type of computing device based on the business requirement (col. 10 ll. 13-32, col. 12 ll. 13-40, col. 19 ll. 44-61), said system further comprising:

a command delivery component that communicates said commands to said computing devices (col. 3 ll. 65-col. 4 ll. 25).

***Regarding claim 8***, Doleac teaches of a network computer system capable of implementing a business requirement, the network computing system comprising:

at least one computing device responsible for determining routing of data through a network (col. 4 ll. 32-35);

a logic separation component (Figs. 1, 3 item 22, col. 3 ll. 40-46) which separates the logic necessary to configure the computing device (Fig. 3 item 52, col. 7 ll. 14-42) from the business requirement (Fig. 1 item 14), wherein the business requirement necessitates a change of telecommunication services in a geographical area (col. 11 ll. 23-29 restoring service in affected area), and wherein said change impacts a plurality

subscribers associated with said telecommunications network (affecting service for all subscribers in affected area); and

a communication component (Fig. 1 item 24) which delivers the separated computer device logic to the appropriate computer device so that the computing device can implement the business requirement (col. 3 ll. 65-col. 4 ll. 25) (col. 1 ll. 55-col. 2 ll. 15, col. 3 ll. 28-col. 4 ll. 58 for complete details).

***Regarding claim 9***, Doleac teaches of the system, further comprising:

a command component (Fig. 3 item 52 with item 56-60) which generates commands which enable the computing device to implement the business requirement, and wherein said commands are based upon the separated computing device logic (col. 8 ll. 23-col. 10 ll. 49).

***Regarding claim 10***, Doleac teaches of the system, wherein said business requirement is based upon information from a user interface (col. 1 ll. 32-35, col. 3 ll. 35-36, col. 20 ll. 3-9 administrator displaying information, inherently suggesting use of interface to administer data or requirement, col. 11 ll. 23-29 identifying affected area or switches) and further based upon information about the current state of the network computer environment (col. 9 ll. 49-col. 10 ll. 49 the current status of switch type i.e. vendor, make, model, software type and level) (col. 10 ll. 13-49, col. 12 ll. 35-40, col. 13 ll. 23-36, col. 13 ll. 64-col. 14 ll. 30 determining business requirement commands based on switch types of affected switches according to priority of subscribers i.e. hospital, police or residential subscriber).

**Regarding claim 11**, Doleac teaches of the system, wherein said network computer environment is a telecommunication network and said computing device is a telecommunications switch (col. 4 ll. 32-35).

**Regarding claim 12**, Doleac teaches of the system, wherein said computing device includes one **or** more data tables which determine the operation of the said computing device (Fig. 17-20 describe various switch specific data tables for corresponding tables in the respective switches).

**Regarding claim 13**, Doleac teaches of the system, further comprising:  
a table determination component which determines which tables associated with the various computing devices need to be modified (col. 19 ll. 45-col. 22 ll. 56); and  
a command component which generates one **or** more commands which allow said computing device tables to be modified to put into effect the business requirement (col. 8 ll. 23-col. 10 ll. 49).

**Regarding claim 14**, Doleac teaches of the system, wherein said network computer environment includes a plurality of computing devices, and wherein said computing devices are of various types (col. 4 ll. 32-35), each type requiring a different logic in order to accomplish the business requirement (col. 9 ll. 49-67, col. 12 ll. 35-40, col. 13 ll. 64-66, col. 16 ll. 10-20, ll. 30-44), the system further comprising:

a command component which determines the appropriate commands that are specific to each type of computing device based upon the business requirement (col. 10 ll. 13-32, col. 12 ll. 13-40, col. 19 ll. 44-61); and



a communications component which conveys the determined commands to said computing devices (col. 3 ll. 65-col. 4 ll. 25).

***Regarding claim 32,*** Doleac teaches of a system for building commands for a computing device in a telecommunications network to instruct the computing device on performing a task (col. 11 ll. 23-29 restoring service), wherein the computing device functions by having one **or** more tables loaded with data (Figs. 17-20 various switch specific data tables), comprising:

a service interpreter (task coordinator) component for receiving a plurality of data and a service identification (col. 7 ll. 20-27), wherein said service identification corresponds to the task to be performed by the computing device (col. 10 ll. 62-63 service order type, col. 11 ll. 55-58, col. 12 ll. 13-28 commands to be performed by switches), wherein said data is manipulated specifically for the computing device (col. 12 ll. 29-40) and wherein said service identification is used to identify the tables that need to be loaded with said data (Figs. 17-20 descriptions, col. 11 ll. 8-20 feature type), and wherein said task relates to a change of at least one telecommunication service in a geographic area (col. 11 ll. 23-29 restoring service in affected area), wherein said change impacts a plurality of subscribers of said telecommunications network (for affected subscribers);

a command component for building an ordered text string of fields for the table, said text string representing a row entry in the table (Fig. 27B);

at least one command builder component to build a command, said command builder component existing for each of the tables in the computing device, said

command builder component adapted to build a command appropriate to a received service identifier by invoking said command component (col. 7 ll. 28-col. 8 ll. 55, col. 28 ll. 53-67); and

a command factory component adapted to receive the identified tables and provide a pointer to said command builder component (col. 7 ll. 28-col. 8 ll. 55, col. 25 ll. 17-49).

### ***Conclusion***

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

US Patent No. 5,875,242	Glaser
US Patent No. 5,881,131	Farris
US Patent No. 6,246,678	Erb
US Patent No. 6,778,651	Jost

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to HEMANT PATEL whose telephone number is (571)272-8620. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Fan Tsang can be reached on 571-272-7547. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Fan Tsang/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2614

Hemant Patel  
Examiner  
Art Unit 2614

Application/Control Number: 10/083,064  
Art Unit: 2614

Page 11

/Hemant Patel/  
Examiner, Art Unit 2614